Local Plan Consultation e-Response Form

CLICK ON VIEW / EDIT DOCUMENT TO ADD YOUR COMMENTS

Please use this form for sending comments to the council regarding the Local Plan consultation. Comments can be sent via email to planningpolicy@lancaster.gov.uk or by post to Planning Policy Team, Lancaster City Council, Dalton Square, Lancaster LA11PJ



You will need to consider the **draft Development Plan Documents** and supporting evidence before making your response. These documents can be found via the following website: **www.lancaster.gov.uk/localplan** and at locations mentioned below.

- Lancaster City Council, Dalton Square, Lancaster LA11PJ.
- Morecambe Town Hall, Marine Road, Morecambe LA4 5AF

The consultation begins on Friday 27 January 2017 and runs for an 8 week period until 5 pm on Friday 24 March 2017.

Please complete the following sections.

Print name:	Nick Horsley	Agent: Click here to enter text.
Organisation: (if applicable)	Mineral Products Association	

Contact Details:

Address: Postcode:	Gillingham House, 38-44 Gillingham Street, London, SW1V 1HU
Email Address:	nick.horsley@mineralproducts.org

Please provide your email address if you would like to receive Local Plan updates.

Nature of your com	ments	

A) Supporting		B) Objecting	C) General comment	
Your comme	ents			

PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:

Document name	Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD
Paragraph number	16.4-16.13
Policy / site number	Policy SG13 :Land at Lundsfield Quarry

As the name of this site would suggest, this site is the location of Lundsfield Quarry. This site was mothballed some years ago and whilst other activities have occurred on the site, including the production of concrete products, sand and gravel reserves remain unworked and for many years formed part of Lancashire's landbank. It would be necessary for a mineral operator to undertake an environmental impact assessment before any works could recommence, however, it is acknowledged that Sand and Gravel resources in Lancashire are depleted. Policies DM1 and M2 of the Lancashire Minerals Local Plan detail the Spatial Vision for the protection of Minerals Safeguarding Areas and the County Council's policy states that inappropriate development within Minerals Safeguarding Areas will not be supported. .Further, the proposed access directly on to Back Lane could prejudice the use of this lane by heavy goods vehicles accessing and egressing Back Lane Quarry and its link to the M6 Quarry link road. This link road was built specifically to ensure quarry traffic and local traffic through Carnforth do not conflict. The provision of an access directly on to Back Lane would undermine the objectives of this. Back Lane Quarry produces over a million tonnes of limestone aggregates, asphalt and concrete products every year. The products are important particularly to the north-west region and not just locally, as they are essential for the repair, maintenance and improvement of North West infrastructure not only in Lancashire, but in neighbouring Counties, including the essential maintenance of the M6 motorway. These activities are carried out on a 24/7 basis. Policy SG13 also conflicts with National Policy in the NPPF paragraph 143 which seeks to ensure that known locations of specific minerals resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development. These safeguarding requirements also apply to existing quarry plant and infrastructure which may be sterilised by the proximity of proposed conflicting development. Policy SG13 is therefore unsound in that it conflicts with local and national policy. Further, the City Council has failed in its responsibility to show the Mineral Safeguarding Areas on the site specific Policy maps. Policy SG13 also conflicts with National Green Belt Policy.

		(Please continue on a sepa	rate sheet if necessary)

Developing a Local Plan for Lancaster District 2011 – 2031

Nature of v	our comments
-------------	--------------

A) Supporting	B) Objecting	C) General comment	

Your comments

PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:

Document name	Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD
Paragraph number	Paragraphs 16.14 – 16.22
Policy / site number	Policy SG14 Land South of Windermere Road ,South
	Carnforth

Comments: This site would appear to impact upon known and proven mineral resources associated with Lundsfield Quarry. The resources are clearly identified on the BGS resource map for Lancashire and on the Lancashire County Council adopted Minerals Safeguarding Map. It is acknowledged that Sand and Gravel resources in Lancashire are depleted. Policies DM1 and M2 of the Lancashire Minerals Local Plan detail the Spatial Vision for the protection of Minerals Safeguarding Areas and the County Council's policy states that inappropriate development within Minerals Safeguarding Areas will not be supported. .Further, the proposed access directly on to Back Lane could prejudice the use of this lane by heavy goods vehicles accessing and egressing Back Lane Quarry and its link to the M6 Quarry link road. This link road was built specifically to ensure quarry traffic and local traffic through Carnforth do not conflict. The provision of an access directly on to Back Lane would undermine the objectives of this. Back Lane Quarry produces over a million tonnes of limestone aggregates, asphalt and concrete products every year. The products are important particularly to the northwest region and not just locally, as they are essential for the repair, maintenance and improvement of North West infrastructure not only in Lancashire, but in neighbouring Counties, including the essential maintenance of the M6 motorway. These activities are carried out on a 24/7 basis. Policy SG14 would bring housing closer to a minerals extraction site of significant regional importance. With Back Lane Quarry having consent to operate until 2048 and with the realistic option that further limestone resources would be released in the future through subsequent planning permissions for deepening or lateral extensions to the site, bringing housing closer to the operations on an adopted Mineral Safeguarding Area is clearly inappropriate. Policy SG14 conflicts with National Policy in the NPPF paragraph 143 which seeks to ensure that known locations of specific minerals resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development. These safeguarding requirements also apply to existing quarry plant and infrastructure which may be sterilised by the proximity of proposed conflicting development. Policy SG14 is therefore unsound in that it conflicts with local and national policy. Further, the city council has failed in its responsibility to show the Mineral Safeguarding Areas on its site specific Policy maps. Policy SG14 also conflicts with National Green Belt Policy...

Developing a Local Plan for Lancaster District 2011 – 2031

lature of your comments						
A) Supporting		B) Objecting		C) General comment		
Your comm	ents					

PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:

Document name	Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD
Paragraph number	Paragraph 16.23 – 16.29
Policy / site number	Policy SG15

Comments: The proposed access directly on to Back Lane could prejudice the use of this lane by heavy goods vehicles accessing and egressing Back Lane Quarry and its link to the M6 Quarry link road. This link road was built specifically to ensure quarry traffic and local traffic through Carnforth do not conflict. The provision of an access directly on to Back Lane would undermine the objectives of this. Back Lane Quarry produces over a million tonnes of limestone aggregates, asphalt and concrete products every year. The products are important particularly to the north-west region and not just locally, as they are essential for the repair, maintenance and improvement of North West infrastructure not only in Lancashire, but in neighbouring Counties, including the essential maintenance of the M6 motorway. These activities are carried out on a 24/7 basis. Policy SG15 is unsound because it is not consistent with national policy (Paragraph 143 of the NPPF). Policy SG15 also conflicts with National Green Belt Policy. Policy SG15 is therefore unsound in that it conflicts with local and national policy. Further, the city council has failed in its responsibility to show the Mineral Safeguarding Areas on its site specific Policy maps.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Nature of your comments						
A) Supporting	B) Objecting	C) General comment				
Your comments						
PLEASE NOTE: When ent	ering your commen	ts please make reference to:				
Document name		and Land Allocations DPD				
Paragraph number Policy / site number	Paragraph	eation Opportunity Areas				
Comments: This Policy identified on the Lancast Map. This may or may r mineral resource. Howe	seeks the provision nire County Counci not conflict with the over Policy SC5 is re 14 and SG15. Po	n of recreational areas on land adopted Minerals Safeguard principles of minerals safeguard principles of minerals safeguard eliant on built development facilicy SC5 is therefore unsound	ing Areas arding the cilitated			
Nature of your comments		(Please continue on a separate sh	eet if necessary)			
A) Supporting	B) Objecting	C) General comment				
Your comments						
PLEASE NOTE: When ent	PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:					
Document name	Strategic Policies	and Land Allocations DPD				

Paragraph number	10.1 – 10.3
Policy / site number	Policy SP8: Maintaining Lancaster District's Unique Character

Whilst the general principle of maintaining the character is Comments: supported, it is not clear how this will be achieved. Lancaster City and many of the small towns and villages are characterised by their building materials, yet there is no reference to the use of locally sourced stone which is vital to safeguard both the cultural and historic characteristics of the built environment. Paragraph 142 of the National Planning Policy Framework restates an established UK Government principle that minerals are essential to support sustainable economic growth and our quality of life. Included in the definition of "Minerals of local and national importance" in the NPPF (Annex2: Glossary) are: "local minerals of importance to heritage assets and local distinctiveness", a description which certainly encompasses dimension stone. Paragraph 143, the NPPF stresses the importance of maintaining a sufficient supply of materials whilst aiming to source minerals supplies indigenously. Using indigenous resources minimises the distance they have to be transported to their point of use and thence their carbon footprint. We seek clarity on this matter.

(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Nature of your comments							
A) Supporting	B) Objecting C) General comment						
Your comments							
PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:							
Document name	Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD						
Paragraph number	13.9 – 13.11						
Policy / site number	Policy SG6: Canal Corridor North, Central Lancaster						
area. Paragraph III of the be of a high standard of area and makes use of liver-use of stone and archof the roofscape should in to, out of and through architectural features from	Policy SG6: Canal Corridor North, Central Lancaster It the general principle of maintaining the character of this is policy states: "That only development proposals should design, which is sympathetic and appropriate to the local ocal design styles, local materials and where possible the itectural features from cleared buildings. The implications also be considered having regard to impacts on key views the proposal". In reality the re-use of stone and im cleared buildings will be limited and therefore the sourced dimension stone is necessary.						

Developing a Local Plan for Lancaster District 2011 – 2031

Nature of your comments							
A) Supporting	B) Objecting C) General comment						
Your comments							
PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:							
Document name	Strategic Policies and Land Allocations DPD						
Paragraph number	17.4-17.19						
Policy / site number	Policy SG16: Port of Heysham Expansion						
Heysham, but would see	rt the general principle of development at the Port of ek assurance that the marine dredging wharf is ically and from neighbouring inappropriate development to ational Policy						
	(Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)						

Nature of your comments							
A) Supporting	B) Objecting		C) General comment				
Your comments							
PLEASE NOTE: When entering your comments please make reference to:							
	3,	•					
Document name	Strategic Polici	es and La	and Allocations DPD				
Paragraph number	Paragraph 20.3 – 20.5						
Policy / site number	Policy H1						
Comments: Policy H1 is exists for housing within allocated Lancashire Mir states that the this plann on this land would conflict.	a Minerals Safe nerals Plan. Par ning permission h	guarding agraph 10 nas now e	Area as indicated in th 6.6, the preamble to Po expired. Housing deve	e olicy SG13			
			(Please continue on a separate s	sheet if necessary)			
			,	. ,			

Please note that your contact details will not be published, but **your comments are not confidential** and will be summarised in a Schedule of Responses which will be published as part of the Local Plan process

Responses should be received no later than 5pm on Friday 24 March 2017

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

Please hand in/post to: Planning and Regeneration, Lancaster City Council, Town Hall, Dalton Square, Lancaster LA1 1PJ by 24 March 2017 and or email planningpolicy@lancaster.gov.uk.

